

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
A poorly executed exercise - IP numbering and the allocation does conform to the requirements of the assessment. You should reflect on class lectures/labs and NetAcad	10	1
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Devices not configured correctly - subsequently task will not be able to work correctly	10	0
4. Practical Application Skills		
You have had a good attempts at the individual lab activities (but activity 4 needs a written answer). Considering the experiences gained here, should have translated into the configuration/operation of the practical test. However, some of the basic tasks have been setup, there is no running-configurations to examine (as per task). Back to the main problem here - reflect on class lectures/labs and NetAcad	80	22
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		23
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	